

November 17, 2014

Recent Experimental Results on Leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ Decays, Semileptonic D Decays and Extraction of $|V_{cd(s)}|$

GANG RONG¹

Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS, Beijing, China

The recent experimental results on leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ decays, semileptonic D decays, determinations of decay constants and form factors, as well as extractions of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ are briefly reviewed. Global analysis of all existing measurements of leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ decays and semileptonic D decays yields $|V_{cd}| = 0.2157 \pm 0.0045$ and $|V_{cs}| = 0.983 \pm 0.011$, which are the most precision determinations of these two CKM matrix elements up to date.

PRESENTED AT

The 8th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM 2014),
Vienna, Austria, September 8-12, 2014

¹ Work supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Contract No.10935007. I would like to thank Yi Fang and Hailong Ma for many helpful discussions about this experimental review.

1 Introduction

In the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, the decay rate of $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ (where $\ell = e, \mu$, or τ) relates to a product of the decay constant $f_{D_{(s)}^+}$ and the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element $V_{cd(s)}$ by

$$\Gamma(D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = \frac{G_F^2}{8\pi} m_\ell^2 m_{D_{(s)}^+} \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_{D_{(s)}^+}^2}\right)^2 f_{D_{(s)}^+}^2 |V_{cd(s)}|^2, \quad (1)$$

where G_F is the Fermi coupling constant, m_ℓ is the mass of the lepton, and $m_{D_{(s)}^+}$ is the mass of the $D_{(s)}^+$ meson. The differential rate of $D \rightarrow \pi(K) e^+ \nu_e$ decay relates to a product of decay form factor $f_+^{\pi(K)}(q^2)$ and $V_{cd(s)}$ by

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = X \frac{G_F^2}{24\pi^3} |\vec{p}_{\pi(K)}|^3 |f_+^{\pi(K)}(q^2)|^2 |V_{cd(s)}|^2, \quad (2)$$

where q^2 is square of the four-momenta transfer, $\vec{p}_{\pi(K)}$ is the three-momentum of the $\pi(K)$ meson in the rest frame of the D meson, and X is a factor due to isospin, which equals to 1 for $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$, and equals to 1/2 for $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$.

With precision measurements of these decay rates one can more precisely determine the decay constants $f_{D_{(s)}^+}$ and form factors $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)$ as well as these CKM matrix elements. The precisely measured values of $f_{D_{(s)}^+}$ and $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)$ can be used to validate LQCD calculations for these quantities, which can improve determinations of the CKM matrix elements $|V_{ub}|$, $|V_{td}|$, and $|V_{ts}|$. As a consequence, the uncertainty in the overall constraint on the unitarity triangle of the CKM matrix can be reduced. These can be used for more stringent test of the SM and search for New Physics beyond the SM.

2 Results on $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays and decay constants $f_{D_{(s)}^+}$

2.1 New results on $D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays

In 2014, the BESIII Collaboration made a measurement of $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decays by analyzing 2.92 fb^{-1} data taken at 3.773 GeV. From 9 hadronic decay modes of D^- meson, the BESIII Collaboration accumulated 1703054 ± 3405 D^- tags. In this D^- tag sample they observed 409.0 ± 21.2 signal events for $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decays and measured the branching fraction $B(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = (3.71 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$ [1]. They also measured $f_{D^+} |V_{cd}| = 45.75 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.39 \text{ MeV}$ and determined $f_{D^+} = 203.2 \pm 5.3 \pm 1.8$. In addition, they extracted $|V_{cd}| = 0.2210 \pm 0.0058 \pm 0.0047$ [1, 2] from the leptonic D^+ decays for the first time.

In 2013, the Belle Collaboration made new measurements of $D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ and $D_s^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau$ decays. By analyzing 913 fb^{-1} data collected near 10.6 GeV, they measured $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = (0.531 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.020)\%$, $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau) = (5.70 \pm 0.21_{-0.30}^{+0.31})\%$ and determined $f_{D_s^+} = 255.5 \pm 4.2 \pm 4.8 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$ [3].

2.2 Decay constants $f_{D(s)^+}$

Historically the MARK-III, BES-I, BES-II, CLEO-c and BESIII Collaborations studied the leptonic D^+ decays, but only the BES-II, CLEO-c and BESIII Collaborations observed significant signal events for $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decays, and both the CLEO-c and BESIII Collaborations made precision measurements of this decay branching fraction. Table 1 summarizes $B(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ and some related quantities measured at the CLEO-c [4] and BESIII [1] experiments. The average of these two values of the measured branching fraction and related quantities [5] are also summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of $B(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$, $f_{D^+}|V_{cd}|$, f_{D^+} and $|V_{cd}|$.

Quantity	$B(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu) (10^{-4})$	$f_{D^+} V_{cd} $ (MeV)	f_{D^+} (MeV)	$ V_{cd} $
CLEO-c [4]	$3.82 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.09$	N/A	$206.2 \pm 8.6 \pm 2.6$	N/A
BESIII [1]	$3.71 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06$	$45.75 \pm 1.20 \pm 0.39$	$203.2 \pm 5.3 \pm 1.8$	$0.221 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$
Average [5]	3.74 ± 0.17	$45.92 \pm 1.04 \pm 0.15$	$203.9 \pm 4.6 \pm 0.9$	$0.216 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001$

Table 2 summarizes branching fractions for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays and relative branching ratios for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decay measured at different experiments. These measurements can be divided into three kinds of measurements, such as direct (D), precision (P), and relative (R) measurements, which are labeled as D, P and R in the last column of Table 2, respectively. The relative measurements of the branching fraction for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decay are determined with $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+) = (4.5 \pm 0.4)\%$ quoted from PDG2014 [6].

To determine the decay constants $f_{D(s)^+}$ we separately consider all existing measurements or some of these measurements of branching fractions for $D(s)^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays. Performing one free parameter, $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}|$, χ^2 fit to all (DPR) of these measured branching fractions with inserting the well-measured $m_\mu = (105.6583715 \pm 0.0000035)$ MeV, $m_\tau = (1776.82 \pm 0.16)$ MeV, $m_{D_s^+} = (1968.30 \pm 0.11)$ MeV, and $\tau_{D_s^+} = (500 \pm 7) \times 10^{-15}$ s [6] into Eq.(1) yields the measured product $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}| = 252.0 \pm 3.7 \pm 1.8$ [7]. Similarly, fitting both the direct (D) and the precision (P) measurements of these branching fractions, or only fitting the precision (P) measurements of these branching fractions yields corresponding values of $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}|$. These $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}|$ are all listed in Table 3.

Dividing $f_{D^+}|V_{cd}| = (45.92 \pm 1.04 \pm 0.15)$ MeV [5] by $|V_{cd}| = 0.22522 \pm 0.00061$ from global fit in the SM [6] yields [5]

$$f_{D^+} = (203.9 \pm 4.6 \pm 0.9) = (203.9 \pm 4.7) \text{ MeV},$$

which is obtained from both the BESIII [1] and CLEO-c [4] measurements of branching fraction for $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ decay. Similarly, dividing $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}| = (252.0 \pm 3.7 \pm 1.8)$ MeV obtained by fitting all decay branching fractions listed in Table 2 by $|V_{cs}| = 0.97343 \pm 0.00015$ from global fit in the SM [6] yields [7]

$$f_{D_s^+} = (258.9 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.8) = (258.9 \pm 4.2) \text{ MeV}.$$

As a comparison Table 3 lists this $f_{D_s^+}$ and other values of $f_{D_s^+}$ determined by separately fitting the branching fractions in group DP and in group P, where the statistical and sys-

Table 2: Summary of decay branching fractions $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$, $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$ and branching ratio $R_B = B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+)$ measured at different experiments.

Experiment		$B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ (%)	Note
BES-I [8]		$1.5^{+1.3+0.3}_{-0.6-0.2}$	D
ALEPH [9]		$0.68 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.18$	D
CLEO-c [10]		$0.565 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.017$	P
BaBar [11]		$0.602 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.034$	P
Belle [3]		$0.531 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.020$	P
Experiment	R_B	$B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$ (%)	R
BEATRICE [12]	$0.23 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.04$	$1.04 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.09$	R
CLEO-II [13]	$0.173 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.035$	$0.779 \pm 0.104 \pm 0.158 \pm 0.069$	R
BaBar [14]	$0.143 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.006$	$0.644 \pm 0.081 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.057$	R
Experiment		$B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ \nu_\tau)$ (%)	
L3 [15]		$7.4 \pm 2.8 \pm 2.4$	D
OPAL [16]		$7.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 2.0$	D
ALEPH [9]		$5.79 \pm 0.77 \pm 1.84$	D
CLEO-c [17]		$5.58 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.13$	P
BaBar [11]		$5.00 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.49$	P
Belle [3]		$5.70 \pm 0.21^{+0.31}_{-0.30}$	P

Table 3: $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}|$ and $f_{D_s^+}$ determined by fitting different decay branching fractions in groups of DPR, DP and P shown in Table 2.

Group of $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_{\ell\ell})$	DPR	DP	P
$f_{D_s^+} V_{cs} $	$252.0 \pm 3.7 \pm 1.8$ [7]	$250.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.8$	$250.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.8$
$f_{D_s^+}$	258.9 ± 4.2 [7]	257.5 ± 4.3	257.0 ± 4.3

tematic errors are combined together. With these determined values of $f_{D_s^+}$ and f_{D^+} we find

$$f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+} = 1.270 \pm 0.036,$$

which is 2.8σ (σ is standard deviation) larger than the most precision value $[f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+}]_{\text{LQCD}} = 1.171 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.003$ calculated in LQCD [18]. Table 4 compares this determined ratio and other values of the ratio for which the values of $f_{D_s^+}$ are determined by fitting the branching fractions in group DP and in group P. The last two ratios shown in Table 4 are larger than the predicted ratio calculated in LQCD [18] by 2.6σ and 2.5σ , respectively.

3 Recent results on semileptonic D Decays

Recently, the BESIII Collaboration reported preliminary results on measurements of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays. From 2.92 fb^{-1} data taken at 3.773 GeV, the BESIII Collaboration accumulated $(279.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^4 \bar{D}^0$ tags with five hadronic decay modes. In this sample of $\bar{D}^0$ tags, they observed 6297 ± 87 and 70727 ± 278 signal events for $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays, respectively, and measured the branching fractions $B(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e) = (0.2950 \pm 0.0041 \pm 0.0026)\%$ and $B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e) = (3.505 \pm 0.014 \pm$

Table 4: Summary of $f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+}$ determined with the values for $f_{D_s^+}$ shown in Table 3 and the average value for f_{D^+} determined from $B(D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+\nu_\mu)$ measured at the BESIII and CLEO-c experiments.

Group of $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow l^+\nu_l)$	DPR	DP	P
$f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+}$	1.270 ± 0.036	1.263 ± 0.036	1.260 ± 0.036

0.033)% [19]. By analyzing differential rates of these two decays, they directly measured $f_+^\pi(0)|V_{cd}| = 0.1420 \pm 0.0024 \pm 0.0010$ and $f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}| = 0.7196 \pm 0.0035 \pm 0.0041$ [19].

The BaBar Collaboration recently reported a measurement of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays. From a data sample taken near 10.6 GeV, they observed 5300 $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays and measured a relative branching ratio $R_B = B(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e)/B(D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+) = 0.0702 \pm 0.0017 \pm 0.0023$ and determined $B(D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e) = (0.2770 \pm 0.0068 \pm 0.0092 \pm 0.0037)\%$. They also measured $f_+^\pi(0)|V_{cd}| = 0.1374 \pm 0.0038 \pm 0.0022 \pm 0.0009$ [20]. Multiplying their measured $f_+^K(0) = 0.727 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.007$ [21] by $|V_{cs}| = 0.9729 \pm 0.0003$ used in their paper yields $f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}| = 0.707 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.007$.

In 2008, the CLEO-c Collaboration studied the semileptonic decays of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$, $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 e^+ \nu_e$ by analyzing 818 pb $^{-1}$ data taken at 3.773 GeV. They measured $f_+^\pi(0)|V_{cd}| = 0.150 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$ and $f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}| = 0.719 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ [22].

The Belle Collaboration made measurements of $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^- e^+ \nu_e$ and $D^0 \rightarrow K^- e^+ \nu_e$ decays in 2006. By analyzing 282 fb $^{-1}$ data taken at 10.58 GeV they measured the form factors $f_+^\pi(q^2)$ and $f_+^K(q^2)$, and determined $f_+^\pi(0) = 0.624 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.030$ as well as $f_+^K(0) = 0.695 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.022$ [23].

Figure 1 shows comparison of these measured form factors along with theoretical predictions for these two form factors.




Figure 1: Comparison of measured form factors along with theoretical predictions for these.

Combining three measurements of $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)|V_{cd(s)}|$ from the BaBar, BESIII and CLEO-c, we obtain the average [24]

$$f_+^\pi(0)|V_{cd}| = 0.143 \pm 0.002, \quad f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}| = 0.718 \pm 0.004,$$

where the errors are the combined statistical and systematic errors together.

4 Extractions of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$

Using the determined $f_{D^+}|V_{cd}| = 45.92 \pm 1.04 \pm 0.15$ MeV shown in Table 1 and $f_{D_s^+}|V_{cs}| = 250.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.8$ MeV shown in Table 3, in conjunction with the averaged values $f_{D^+} = (209.2 \pm 3.3)$ MeV and $f_{D_s^+} = (248.6 \pm 2.7)$ MeV from the Flavor Lattice Averaging Group, we find the CKM matrix elements $|V_{cd}|^{D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu} = 0.219 \pm 0.005_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.004_{\text{LQCD}}$ and $|V_{cs}|^{D_s^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell} = 1.006 \pm 0.016_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.013_{\text{LQCD}}$. Similarly, with the measured $f_+^\pi(0)|V_{cd}| = 0.143 \pm 0.002$ and $f_+^K(0)|V_{cs}| = 0.718 \pm 0.004$ together with $[f_+^\pi(0)]_{\text{LQCD}} = 0.666 \pm 0.029$ [25] and $[f_+^K(0)]_{\text{LQCD}} = 0.747 \pm 0.019$ [26] we extract the CKM matrix elements $|V_{cd}|^{D \rightarrow \pi e^+ \nu_e} = 0.215 \pm 0.003_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.009_{\text{LQCD}}$ and $|V_{cs}|^{D \rightarrow \pi e^+ \nu_e} = 0.961 \pm 0.005_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.024_{\text{LQCD}}$. Combining these $|V_{cd(s)}|^{D \rightarrow \pi(K) e \nu_e}$ and $|V_{cd(s)}|^{D_{(s)}^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l}$ together, we find [24]

$$|V_{cd}| = 0.218 \pm 0.005, \quad |V_{cs}| = 0.985 \pm 0.015.$$

The above $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ are extracted from measurements of leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ decays and semi-leptonic D decays performed at the BaBar, Belle, BESIII and CLOE-c experiments. In these measurements of semi-leptonic D decays, the $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)|V_{cd(s)}|$ were all measured by analyzing differential rates of $D \rightarrow \pi(K) e^+ \nu_e$ decays.

Beyond these four measurements of differential decay rates, many other experiments measured some other quantities rather than the differential decay rates. In order to reduce the experimental uncertainties in $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$, several authors analyzed all existing measurements of these leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ and semi-leptonic D decays to extract $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$. By globally analyzing all existing measurements together with the recent most precision values for these form factors and decay constants calculated in LQCD, they extracted [5, 7]

$$|V_{cd}| = 0.2157 \pm 0.0045, \quad |V_{cs}| = 0.983 \pm 0.011,$$

which are the most precise determinations of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ up to date. Figure 2 shows comparison of these extracted $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ as well as other ones given by the HFAG * along with the values from PDG2014.




Figure 2: Comparison of the extracted $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ along with PDG2014 values.

*Personal communication with A. Zupanc.

5 Summary

Analyzing all existing measurements of leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ decays measured at different experiments yields $f_{D^+} = 203.9 \pm 4.7$ MeV, $f_{D_s^+} = 258.9 \pm 4.2$ MeV, and $f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+} = 1.270 \pm 0.036$ which is larger than the ratio calculated in LQCD [18] by 2.8σ . If only analyzing the branching fractions for $D_s^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decays measured at the BaBar, Belle and CLEO-c experiments, these become to be $f_{D_s^+} = 257.0 \pm 4.4$ MeV, and $f_{D_s^+}/f_{D^+} = 1.260 \pm 0.036$, which is larger than the predicted ratio by 2.5σ . The average of the measured $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)$ from the BaBar, Belle, BESIII and CLEO-c experiments are consistent within error with those calculated in LQCD, but with a factor of 3 (4) more precisions than that calculated in LQCD. Analyzing all measurements of the leptonic $D_{(s)}^+$ and semi-leptonic D decays together, in conjunction with the recent LQCD calculations for these form factors and decay constants, ones find $|V_{cd}| = 0.2157 \pm 0.0045$ and $|V_{cs}| = 0.983 \pm 0.011$, which are the most precise determinations of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ up to date. At present, the uncertainties of the extracted $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ are still dominated by the error of $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)$ calculated in LQCD. If these errors of $f_+^{\pi(K)}(0)$ calculated in LQCD could be negligible, the relative accuracy of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$ from semi-leptonic D decays could reach to $\Delta|V_{cd}|/|V_{cd}| \sim 1.2\%$ and $\Delta|V_{cs}|/|V_{cs}| \sim 0.5\%$.

References

- [1] M. Ablikim *et al.* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **89**, 051104(R) (2014).
- [2] G. Rong, Charm2012, 14-17 May, 2012, Honolulu, Hawii (US).
- [3] A. Zupanc *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), JHEP **09**, 139 (2013).
- [4] B.I. Eisenstein *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **78**, 052003 (2008).
- [5] G. Rong *et al.*, arXiv:1410.3232v1.
- [6] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
- [7] Y. Fang *et al.*, arXiv:1409.8049v1.
- [8] J. Z. Bai *et al.* (BES Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4599 (1995).
- [9] A. Heister *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **528**, 1 (2002).
- [10] J. P. Alexander *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **79**, 052001 (2009).
- [11] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **82**, 091103(R) (2010).
- [12] Y. Alexandrov *et al.* (BEATRICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **478**, 31 (2000).
- [13] M. Chada *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **58**, 032002 (1998).
- [14] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 141801 (2007).
- [15] M. Acciarri *et al.* (L3 Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **396**, 327 (1997).
- [16] G. Abbiendi *et al.* (OPAL Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **516**, 236 (2001).
- [17] P. Naik *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 112004 (2009).
- [18] A. Bazavov *et al.*, (Fermilab/MILC Collaborations) arXiv:1407.3772.
- [19] Y. H. Zheng (For BESIII Collaboration), ICHEP2014, 2-7 July 2014, Valencia (Spain);
H. L. Ma (For BESIII Collaboration), Beauty2014, 14-18 July 2014, Edinburgh (UK).
- [20] A. Oyanguren (For BaBar Collaboration), ICHEP2014, 2-7 July 2014, Valencia (Spain).
- [21] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **76**, 052005 (2007).
- [22] D. Besson *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 032005 (2009).
- [23] L. Widhalm *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 061804 (2006).
- [24] G. Rong, Y. Fang and H.L. Ma, arXiv:1409.1068.
- [25] H. Na *et al.* (HPQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **84**, 114505 (2011).
- [26] H. Na *et al.* (HPQCD Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **82**, 114506 (2010).